arXiv:0907.4834v1 [math.AG] 28 Jul 2009

GALOIS POINTS FOR A NORMAL HYPERSURFACE

SATORU FUKASAWA & TAKESHI TAKAHASHI

Abstract. We study Galois points for a hypersurface X with $\dim \mathrm{Sing}(X) \le \dim X - 2$. The purpose of this article is to determine the set $\Delta(X)$ of Galois points in characteristic zero: Indeed, we give a sharp upper bound of the number of Galois points in terms of $\dim X$ and $\dim \mathrm{Sing}(X)$ if $\Delta(X)$ is a finite set, and prove that X is a cone if $\Delta(X)$ is infinite. To achieve our purpose, we need a certain hyperplane section theorem on Galois point. We prove this theorem in arbitrary characteristic. On the other hand, the hyperplane section theorem has other important applications: For example, we can classify the Galois group induced from a Galois point in arbitrary characteristic and determine the distribution of Galois points for a Fermat hypersurface of degree $p^e + 1$ in characteristic $p > 0$.

1. Introduction

Let the base field K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \ge 0$ and let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be an irreducible and reduced hypersurface of dimension n and of degree $d \ge 4$ with $s(X) := \dim \mathrm{Sing}(X)$. H. Yoshihara introduced the notion of *Galois point* (see [3, 7, 10, 11, 12]). If the function field extension $K(X)/K(\mathbb{P}^n)$, induced from the projection $\pi_P : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ from a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, is Galois, then the point P is said to be Galois. In this paper a *Galois point* P means *Galois point which is contained in the smooth locus* X_{sm} *or* $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$, except for Subsection 3.1. Let $\Delta(X)$ (resp. $\Delta'(X)$) be the set of all Galois points contained in X_{sm} (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$). We are interested in the sets $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta'(X)$. If $p = 0$, $n = 1$ and X is smooth, then Yoshihara determined the sets $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta'(X)$ ([10]). If $p > 0$, $n = 1$ and X is smooth, then the first author determined in most cases ([2, 3]). If $p = 0$ and X is a smooth quartic surface, then Yoshihara determined the set $\Delta(X)$ completely ([11]). If $K = \mathbb{C}$, $n \ge 2$ and X is smooth, then Yoshihara gave sharp upper bounds on the cardinalities of $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta'(X)$ ([12]). If $p = 0$ and X is a normal quartic surface, then the second author determined the set $\Delta(X)$ ([9]). However, for a higher dimensional hypersurface with large singularities, there are few results.

The purpose of this article is to determine the sets $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta'(X)$ for a hypersurface of dimension n with $s(X) = \dim \mathrm{Sing}(X) \le n - 2$ in $p = 0$. We define the dimension of the empty set as -1. Our results are:

Theorem 1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of dimension $n \ge 1$ and degree $d \ge 4$ with $s = s(X) \le n - 2$ in characteristic $p = 0$ and let $m = m(n, s) := [(n + s + 1)/2]$ where $[*]$ means the integer part of $*$. Assume that $\Delta(X)$ is a finite set.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J70, 12F10.

Key words and phrases. Galois point, hypersurface.

(I-0) *If* $d = 4$ *and* $s = -1$ *then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4(m(n, s) + 1)$. *The equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m+1}X_m^3 + X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{n+1}^4 = 0.$$

(I-1) *If* $d = 4$, $s \geq 0$ *and* $n + s$ *is odd, then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4(m(n, s) - s - 1) + (s + 2)$. *The equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*
$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}X_{m-s-2}^3 + X_{2m-s}(X_{m-s-1}^3 + \cdots + X_m^3)$
$+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 + aX_{2m-s}^4 = 0$
where $a = 0$ *or* 1.

(I-2) *If* $d = 4$ *and* $s = 0$ *and* n *is even then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4m(n, s) + 1$. *The equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m+1}X_m^3 + X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m}^4 = 0.$$

(I-3) *If* $d = 4$ *and* $s = 1$ *and* $n + s$ *is even then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4(m(n, s) - 1)$. *The equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{m-1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-3}X_{m-2}^3 + X_{m-1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-3}^4 + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{2m-2}, X_{2m-1}, X_{n+1}]$ *has a multiple component.*

(I-4) *If* $d = 4$, $s \geq 2$ *and* $n + s$ *is even, then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4(m(n, s) - s - 1) + (s + 2)$. *Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by one of the followings:*
  (i) $X_{m-s}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}X_{m-s-1}^3 + X_{m-s}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}^4 + G_1 = 0$
  where $s = 2$ *and* $G_1 \in K[X_{2m-2s}, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$ *has a multiple component; or*
  (ii) $X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}X_{m-s-2}^3 + X_{2m-s}X_{m-s-1}^3 + A_{m-s}X_{m-s} \cdots + A_mX_m^3$
  $+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 + G_2 = 0$
  where $s \geq 2$ *and* $A_{m-s}, \ldots, A_m, G_2 \in K[X_{2m-s}, X_{n+1}]$.

(II) *If* $d \geq 5$, *then* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq m(n, s) + 1$. *Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{m+1}X_0^{d-1} + \cdots + X_{2m-s}X_{m-s-1}^{d-1} + A_{m-s}X_{m-s}^{d-1} + \cdots + A_mX_m^{d-1} + G = 0$$

where $A_{m-s}, \ldots, A_m, G \in K[X_{m+1}, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$ *are homogeneous polynomials with* $\deg A_{m-s} = \cdots = \deg A_m = 1$ *and* $\deg G = d$. *(Note that the polynomial* $A_{m-s}X_{m-s} + \cdots + A_mX_m^{d-1}$ *does not appear if* $s = -1$.*)*

Corollary 1. *Let* $\lambda := [n/2]$ *and let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *be a hypersurface of dimension* $n \geq 1$ *and degree* $d = 4$ *with* $s(X) \leq n - 2$ *in characteristic* $p = 0$. *Assume that* $\Delta(X)$ *is a finite set. Then,* $\sharp\Delta(X) \leq 4(\lambda + 1)$. *Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{\lambda+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2\lambda+1}X_\lambda^3 + X_{\lambda+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{n+1}^4 = 0.$$

Theorem 2. *Assume that* $p = 0$, $s(X) \leq n - 2$ *and* $\Delta'(X)$ *is finite.*

(1) *If* $s = -1$*, then* $\sharp\Delta'(X) \le n+2$*. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the Fermat hypersurface.*

(2) *If* $s \ge 0$*, then* $\sharp\Delta'(X) \le n-s$*. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_0^d + \cdots + X_{n-s-1}^d + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{n-s}, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$ *has a multiple component.*

Theorem 3. *Assume that* $p = 0$ *and* $s(X) \le n-2$*. If the set* $\Delta(X) \cup \Delta'(X)$ *is infinite, then* X *is a cone. Furthermore, there exist linear spaces* M_1, $M_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *with* $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ *and an irreducible hypersurface* $Y \subset M_1$ *satisfying the following conditions:* (*i*) $X = Y\sharp M_2$*;* (*ii*) M_2 *has the maximal dimension as a vertex of* X*;* (*iii*) *the set* $\Delta(Y) \cup \Delta'(Y)$ *is finite; and*

$$(iv)\ \Delta(X) = (\Delta(Y)\sharp M_2) \setminus M_2 \text{ and } \Delta'(X) = (\Delta'(Y)\sharp M_2) \setminus M_2,$$

where $\sharp$ *means a linear join of algebraic sets.*

To prove our Theorems, we need a hyperplane section theorem below. We denote by $G_P(X)$ the Galois group induced from P if P is a Galois point for a hypersurface X.

Theorem 4. *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ ($n \ge 2$) *be an irreducible hypersurface of degree* $d \ge 4$ *with* $0 \le s(X) \le n-2$ *(resp.* $s(X) = -1$*) in characteristic* $p \ge 0$*. Let* $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *be a Galois point for* X*. Then, the followings hold:*

(i) *A general hyperplane* H *passing through* P *satisfies the following condition:*
  ($\star$) *the hyperplane section* $X_H := X \cap H$ *is an irreducible hypersurface in* $H \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ *of degree* d *with* $s(X_H) = s(X) - 1$ *(resp.* $s(X_H) = -1$*), and a general tangent space of* X_H *does not contain* P*.*

(ii) *Let* H *be a hyperplane passing through* P *and satisfying the condition* ($\star$)*. Then, the point* P *is Galois with respect to* X_H*.*

(iii) *The Galois groups are isomorphic:* $G_P(X) \cong G_P(X_H)$ *for any hyperplane* H *passing through* P *and satisfying* ($\star$)*.*

In fact, this Theorem will be used for the proof of Propositions 1 and 2 in Subsection 3.1. It is remarkable, as in these Propositions, that the birational transformation induced by $\sigma \in G_P(X)$ can be extended to a projective transformation on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ when $p = 0$.

The hyperplane section theorem has other important applications. One application is to classify the Galois group. Combining Theorem 4 with a result on the structure of $G_P(C)$ for a smooth plane curve C with a Galois point P obtained in [2], we have the following:

Corollary 2. *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *be a hypersurface of degree* $d \ge 4$ *with* $s(X) \le n-2$ *in characteristic* $p \ge 0$*. Let* $P \in X$ *(resp.* $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$*) be a Galois point for* X *and* $d - 1 = p^e l$ *(resp.* $d = p^e l$*), where* l *is not divisible by* p *if* $p > 0$*.*

Then, l *divides* $p^e - 1$ *and the Galois group* G_P *is isomorphic to the semidirect product of* $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus e}$ *(as a normal subgroup) and* $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ *(as a quotient).*

Another application is to determine the distribution of Galois points for a Fermat hypersurface $F_n(q+1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $q+1 \geq 4$ in $p > 0$ where q is a power of p. Homma [5] determined the distribution of Galois points for a Hermitian curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$, which is defined by $X^qZ+XZ^q-Y^{q+1}=0$. Note that the Hermitian curve is projectively equivalent to $F_1(q+1)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We will generalize Homma's result.

Theorem 5. *A point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is Galois for $F_n(q+1)$ if and only if $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational.*

Note that Theorem 5 implies that Theorems 1 and 2 do not hold in $p > 0$. In the final section, we give examples which are not cones and have infinitely many Galois points. Especially, Example 2 implies that Theorem 3 does not hold in $p > 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem 4

In this section, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is an irreducible hypersurface of degree $d \geq 4$ with $s(X) = \dim \operatorname{Sing}(X) \leq n-2$, otherwise specified. We denote by $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$ the dual projective space, which parameterizes hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_xX \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ the projective tangent space at $x \in X_{\mathrm{sm}}$. We have the Gauss map $\gamma : X_{\mathrm{sm}} \to \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$; $x \mapsto \mathbb{T}_xX$. We note the following elementary fact:

Note 1. A hyperplane H coincides with the tangent space $\mathbb{T}_xX \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ at a smooth point $x \in X$ if and only if the scheme $X \cap H$ is singular at $x \in X \cap H$.

Let $V_P \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$ be the set of all hyperplanes which pass through P and let $S_X \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$ be the set of all hyperplanes which contain some irreducible component Y of the singular locus of X with $\dim Y = s(X)$. We have the following assertion of Bertini type in arbitrary characteristic (cf. the proof of [4, II, 8.18]).

Note 2. Assume that $0 \leq s(X) \leq n-2$ (resp. $s(X) = -1$). For any hyperplane $H \in V_P \setminus (\gamma(X_{\mathrm{sm}}) \cup S_X)$, the hyperplane cut $X_H := X \cap H$ is an irreducible hypersurface of degree d with $s(X_H) = s(X) - 1$ (resp. $s(X_H) = -1$).

A point $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is called a strange center if the tangent space $\mathbb{T}_xX$ contain P for a general point $x \in X$.

Note 3. The projection $\pi_P : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is generically finite and separable if and only if $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is not a strange center.

Now we assume that π_P is separable and generically finite onto its image. Then, the differential map $d_Q\pi_P : T_QX \to T_{\pi_P(Q)}\mathbb{P}^n$ is isomorphic at a general point $Q \in X$, where T_QX is the Zariski tangent space at Q. We denote by U_P the maximal open set of X_{sm} such that the differential map $d_Q\pi_P : T_QX \to T_{\pi_P(Q)}\mathbb{P}^n$ is isomorphic for any $Q \in U_P$. We denote by $\Sigma_P \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n+1}$ the finite set consisting of hyperplanes H such that $X \cap H$ is contained in $X \setminus U_P$ (as a set). On the other hand, we note the following:

Note 4. If $X \cap H$ is an integral scheme, then $\mathbb{T}_Q(X_H) = \mathbb{T}_QX \cap H$ for any smooth point Q of X_H.

Combining above Notes, we have the following:

Lemma 1. *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *be an irreducible hypersurface of degree* d *with* $0 \le s(X) \le n-2$ *(resp.* $s(X) = -1$*). Assume that* P *is not a strange center. Then, we have the followings:*

(i) $V_P \setminus (\gamma(X_{\mathrm{sm}}) \cup S_X \cup \Sigma_P) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) *Let* $H \in V_P \setminus (\gamma(X_{\mathrm{sm}}) \cup S_X \cup \Sigma_P)$. *Then,* H *satisfies the condition* $(\star)$.

Now assume that P is a Galois point. Let G_P be the Galois group. Then, we find easily that P is not a strange center. Therefore, $V_P \setminus (\gamma(X_{\mathrm{sm}}) \cup S_X \cup \Sigma_P) \neq \emptyset$ as in Lemma 1. Then, we can consider $\sigma \in G_P$ as a birational map from X to itself. Needless to say, σ is defined and isomorphic at a general point of X. We denote by the maximal open subset U_σ such that σ is defined and isomorphic over U_σ. It follows from a certain elementary lemma [4, V. Lemma 5.1] that $X \setminus U_\sigma$ is of codimension ≥ 2. Therefore, we have:

Note 5. For any hyperplane $H \in V_P \setminus (\gamma(X_{\mathrm{sm}}) \cup S_X \cup \Sigma_P)$, $X_H \cap U_\sigma$ is non-empty.

We also define $U_G := \bigcap_{\sigma \in G_P} U_\sigma$. We have the following natural property in Galois extension (cf. [8, III. 7.1]):

Lemma 2. *Let* P *be a Galois point. For any points* $Q \in U_G$ *and* $R \in U_P$ *with* $\pi_P(Q) = \pi_P(R)$*, there exists an element* $\sigma \in G_P$ *such that* $\sigma(Q) = R$.

Proof. Assume that $\sigma(Q) \neq R$ for any $\sigma \in G_P$. We take a function $f \in \bigcap_\sigma \mathcal{O}_{\sigma(Q)} \cap \mathcal{O}_R$ such that $f(\sigma(Q)) \neq 0$ for any $\sigma \in G_P$ and $f(R) = 0$. Then, we consider the function $g = \prod_\sigma \sigma^* f$. For any $\sigma \in G_P$, we have $\sigma^* g = g$. Therefore, we find that $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\pi_P(R)}$. Note that $g \in m_{\pi_P(R)}$ because of $\pi_P^* m_{\pi(R)} = m_R$ by $R \in U_P$, where m_R is the maximal ideal of the local ring of $\mathcal{O}_R$. This implies that $0 \neq g(\pi_P(Q)) = g(\pi_P(R)) = 0$. This is a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume that P is Galois. We have Theorem 4 (i) by Lemma 1. Let d_0 be the degree of the function field extension $K(X)/\pi_P^* K(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Let H be any hyperplane passing through P and satisfying the condition $(\star)$. Then, we consider a group morphism

$$\phi(H,P) : G_P(X) \to G; \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{X_H}$$

where $G = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Bir}(X_H) | \sigma(X_H \cap l) \subset X_H \cap l$ for a general line l such that $P \in l \subset H\}$. It follows from Note 5 that σ is defined and isomorphic at a general point of X_H. We also find that $\sigma(X_H) = X_H$. Therefore, $\phi(H,P)$ is well-defined. Now we prove that $\phi(H,P)$ is an injection. We assume that $\sigma|_{X_H} = \mathrm{id}_{X_H}$ and $\sigma \neq \mathrm{id}_X$. Then, $\sigma(Q) = Q$ for any $Q \in X_H$. It follows from Lemma 2 that the cardinality $X_H \cap l \setminus P$ is at most $d_0 - 1$ for a general line l in H containing P. If $\dim X_H = 1$, then l is a tangent line of X_H by Bézout theorem. However, this is a contradiction with $(\star)$. If $\dim X_H > 1$, then this is also a contradiction by taking some linear section of X_H and using Lemma 1. Since the order of G is at most d_0, ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, P is a Galois point for X_H. We have (ii) and (iii). □

Remark 1. It seems to be already known that the assertion in Theorem 4 holds when $p = 0$, $s(X) \le 0$ and $H \in V_P$ is assumed to be general in (ii) (cf. [9], [11, Proposition 2.5], [12]). Yoshihara [14] also informed the first author an idea of the proof in this case.

3. Galois points for a normal hypersurface in characteristic zero

In this section, we assume that $p = 0$.

3.1. **Preliminaries.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be an irreducible and reduced hypersurface of degree d and P a point in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. If $P \notin X$, then we define the multiplicity of X at P as 0. Let $(X_0 : X_1 : \cdots : X_{n+1})$ be a system of homogeneous coordinates, $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = (X_1/X_0, X_1/X_0, \ldots, X_{n+1}/X_0)$ a system of affine coordinates, and we assume that $P = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Let $F(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0$ be the defining homogeneous equation of X, and $f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = F(1, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} f_i$ its dehomogenized polynomial, where f_i is a homogeneous part of f with degree i. The multiplicity is equal to m if and only if $f_m \neq 0$ and $f_i = 0$ for any $i < m$.

Lemma 3. *Let $0 \leq m \leq d-1$. The following three conditions are equivalent*:

$(1)_m$ *The multiplicity at P is m, f_m divides f_{m+1}, and*

$$f_{m+i} = \binom{d-m}{i} f_m \left(\frac{f_{m+1}}{(d-m)f_m} \right)^i \quad (i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-m-1)$$

$(2)_m$ *By taking a suitable projective transformation fixing the point P, the defining equation can be given by*

$$g_m(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) + g_d(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = 0,$$

where g_m and g_d are homogeneous polynomials of degree m and degree d, respectively.

$(3)_m$ *The point P is of multiplicity m and Galois, and the birational map induced by σ is a restriction of a projective transformation of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ for any $\sigma \in G_P(X)$.*

Furthermore, P is Galois and the Galois group $G_P(X)$ is a cyclic group of order $d-m$, if one of the conditions holds.

Proof. First let us prove the implication $(1)_m \Rightarrow (2)_m$. Since $f_{m+1}/((d-m)f_m)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree one, let us put $h := f_{m+1}/((d-m)f_m) = m_1x_1 + m_2x_2 + \cdots + m_{n+1}x_{n+1}$, where $m_1, \cdots, m_{n+1} \in K$. Let $\hat{X}_0 = X_0 + h(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1})$. Then, $F(\hat{X}_0 - h, X_1, \cdots, X_{n+1}) = f_m(\hat{X}_0 - h)^{d-m} + \cdots + f_{m+i}(\hat{X}_0 - h)^{d-m-i} + \cdots + f_d$. The coefficient F_{d-m-i} of $\hat{X}_0^{d-m-i}$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{i} \binom{d-m-k}{d-m-i} f_{m+k}(-h)^{i-k}.$$

By using $(1)_m$, we have

$$F_{d-m-i} = f_m h^i \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} \binom{d-m-k}{d-m-i} \binom{d-m}{k} = (-1)^i f_m h^i \binom{d-m}{d-m-i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^k \binom{i}{k}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} = 0$ if $i > 0$, we have the assertion of $(2)_m$.

Now we prove $(2)_m \Rightarrow (1)_m$. Let ϕ be the projective transformation as in the condition $(2)_m$ and let ψ be the inverse, and let $\hat{X}_i = \psi^* X_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. Then, by the assumption, $g_m(\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_{n+1})\hat{X}_0^{d-m} + g_d(\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_{n+1}) = 0$. Let A_ψ be a matrix representing ψ. Since

$\psi(P) = P$, we may assume

$$A_\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+1,0} & a_{n+1,1} & \cdots & a_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\hat{g}_m(X_0, \dots, X_{n+1}) := g_m(\hat{X}_0, \dots, \hat{X}_{n+1})$, let $\hat{g}_d(X_0, \dots, X_{n+1}) := g_d(\hat{X}_0, \dots, \hat{X}_{n+1})$ and $h := a_{1,n+1}X_1 + \cdots + a_{n+1,n+1}X_{n+1}$. Then, we have $F = \hat{g}_m(h + X_0)^{d-m} + \hat{g}_d$ and

$$f_{m+i} = \hat{g}_m \binom{d-m}{i} h^i.$$

Therefore, $f_{m+1}/f_m = (d-m)h$ and

$$\binom{d-m}{i} f_m \left(\frac{f_{m+1}}{(d-m)f_m} \right)^i = \binom{d-m}{i} f_m h^i = \binom{d-m}{i} \hat{g}_m h^i = f_{m+i}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, d-m-1$.

The proof of $(2)_m \Leftrightarrow (3)_m$ is the same as the proof for $n = 1$ (See [13, Proposition 1], and also [6, Proposition 4]). □

Below, we assume that $s(X) \leq n-2$ and a Galois point is contained in $X_{\text{sm}} \cup (\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X)$. We have the following Proposition, by Lemma 3 and Theorem 4.

Proposition 1. *We consider the following weaker condition than* $(3)_1$*:*

(3')$_1$ *The point* $P \in X_{\text{sm}}$ *is Galois.*

Then, the three conditions $(1)_1, (2)_1$ *and* $(3')_1$ *are equivalent.*

Especially, if $P \in X_{\text{sm}}$ *is Galois, then the birational transformation of* X *induced by* $\sigma \in G_P(X)$ *is a restriction of a projective transformation of* $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$*.*

Proof. The implications $(1)_1 \Leftrightarrow (2)_1$ and $(2)_1 \Rightarrow (3')_1$ are clear from Lemma 3.

Let us prove the implication $(3')_1 \Rightarrow (1)_1$ by induction on the dimension n. If $n = 1$, then it holds by [10, Proposition 5] and the implication of $(2)_1 \Rightarrow (1)_1$ in Lemma 3. We assume that $n \geq 2$ and P is a smooth Galois point. Let H be a general hyperplane given by the equation $x_1 = a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}$, where $a_i \in K$. We put $\tilde{x} = a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}$. Then, from Theorem 4, $X_H := X \cap H$ satisfies the condition $(\star)$, and P is a smooth Galois point with respect to X_P. Hence, by the assumption of the induction, we have that $f_1(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \neq 0$, $f_2(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})/f_1(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in K[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ and

$$f_{i+1}(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \binom{d-1}{i} f_1(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left(\frac{f_2(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})}{(d-1)f_1(\tilde{x}, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})} \right)^i$$

$(i = 0, \dots, d-2)$, for a general $(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$. This implies the assertion $(1)_1$. □

Corollary 3. *Assume that* $d = 4$*. Let* $P = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ *be a smooth point of* X*. Then,* $P \in \Delta(X)$ *if and only if* $f_2^2 = 3f_1f_3$*.*

From Lemma 1, we infer the following remark, which is useful to find smooth Galois points.

Remark 2.

(1) If $P \in X_{\rm sm}$ is a Galois point, then $\mathbb{T}_P X \cap X$ is a (possibly reducible) cone and P is its vertex.
(2) Let $H = H(F)$ be the Hessian of F. If $P \in X_{\rm sm}$ is a Galois point, then $H(F)(P) = 0$.

Similar to Proposition 1, we have the following:

Proposition 2. *We consider the following weaker condition than* $(3)_0$*:*

$(3')_0$ *The point* $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$ *is Galois.*

Then, the three conditions $(1)_0, (2)_0$ *and* $(3')_0$ *are equivalent.*

Especially, if $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$ *is Galois, then the birational transformation of* X *induced by* $\sigma \in G_P(X)$ *is a restriction of a projective transformation of* $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$*.*

Propositions 1 and 2 imply that some methods by Yoshihara [12] for smooth hypersurfaces are available also for normal hypersurfaces in our situation. We introduce the following:

Definition 1. Let P be a Galois point. Then, the set $\mathbb{F}_P := \{Q \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} | \sigma(Q) = Q \text{ for any } \sigma \in G_P(X)\} \setminus \{P\}$ is a hyperplane. We call $\mathbb{F}_P$ the fixed hyperplane at P.

Note that $\mathbb{F}_P$ is defined by $X_0 = 0$ if the defining polynomial has the form in Lemma 3 (2). Now we mention independent Galois points, which is a useful notion to count the number of Galois points ([12, Definition 4]).

Definition 2. A set of Galois points $\{P_0, \dots, P_r\}$ is said to be independent (or, simply, points $P_0, \dots, P_r$ are said to be independent) if for any two points P_i and P_j $(0 \le i, j \le r)$ all the Galois points for X lying on the line $\overline{P_i P_j}$ are exactly P_i and P_j.

We have the following lemma also for normal hypersurfaces by copying the proof of [12, Lemma 3].

Lemma 4. *If* $P_0, \dots, P_r$ *are independent Galois points, then we can choose coordinates* $(X_0, \dots, X_{n+1})$ *satisfying* $X_j(P_i) = \delta_{ji}$ $(0 \le i \le r,\ 0 \le j \le n+1)$ *and a generator* σ_i *of* G_{P_i} $(0 \le i \le r)$ *has a representation as* $\mathrm{diag}[\zeta, \dots, \zeta, 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta]$*, where* 1 *is in* i*-th position and* $\zeta = e_{d-1}$ *(resp.* e_d*). Especially we have* $r \le n+1$*.*

3.2. **Distribution of Galois points for a cone variety.** Firstly, we mention the distribution of Galois points for a cone variety. We does not assume the normality of X in this subsection, that is, $s(X)$ may be $n-1$. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a cone. Then, there exists a linear space M_1 and M_2 in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ and $M_1 \sharp M_2 = \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that $Y := X \cap M_1$ is an irreducible hypersurface and M_2 is the maximal vertex (i.e. M_2 is the vertex with maximal dimension). Let $n - a$ be the dimension of M_2 (with $0 \le n - a \le n - 2$). Then, we may assume that M_1 is defined by $X_{a+1} = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$, M_2 is defined by $X_0 = \cdots = X_a = 0$ and X is defined by $F(X_0, \dots, X_a) = 0$.

Lemma 5. *Let* $P \in M_1$ *and* $Q \in (P \sharp M_2) \setminus M_2$*. Then,* P *is Galois for* X *if and only if* Q *is Galois for* X*.*

Proof. By direct computations, π_P and π_Q induce the same function field extension. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let $P \in M_1$. Then, P is Galois for Y if and only if P is Galois for X.*

Proof. By taking suitable coordinates, we may assume that $P = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, and $\pi_P : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is given by $(X_0 : X_1 : \cdots : X_{n+1}) \mapsto (X_1 : \cdots : X_{n+1})$. Let $(x_0, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = (X_0/X_1, X_2/X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}/X_1)$ be a system of affine coordinates. We have $K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1}) = k(x_2, \ldots, x_a)$, $K(\mathbb{P}^n) = K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})(x_{a+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1})$, $K(X) = K(\mathbb{P}^n)(x_0|_X)$ and $K(Y) = K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})(x_0|_Y)$. Let $p_X(x)$ and $p_Y(x)$ be minimal polynomials of $x_0|_X$ over $K(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and of $x_0|_Y$ over $K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})$, respectively. Since X and Y are given by the same equation $F(X_0, \ldots, X_a) = 0$, we see that $p_X(x) = p_Y(x)$. Let L_X and L_Y be splitting fields for $p_X(x)$ and $p_Y(x)$, respectively. Then, by [1, Theorem 29], we have that $\mathrm{Gal}(L_X/K(\mathbb{P}^n))$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_Y/K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1}))$ having $L_Y \cap K(\mathbb{P}^n)$ as its fixed field. Here, we note that $L_Y \cap K(\mathbb{P}^n) = K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})$, since $x_{a+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are transcendental over $K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})$. Namely, we have that $\mathrm{Gal}(L_X/K(\mathbb{P}^n))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gal}(L_Y/K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1}))$. Especially, we have $[L_X : K(\mathbb{P}^n)] = [L_Y : K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})]$. Hence, note that $[K(X) : K(\mathbb{P}^n)] = [K(Y) : K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})]$, we conclude that $K(X)/K(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is Galois if and only if $K(Y)/K(\mathbb{P}^{a-1})$ is Galois. $\square$

It follows from Lemmas 5 and 6 that we have the following:

Proposition 3. *Let X be a cone described as above. Then,*

$$\Delta(X) = (\Delta(Y) \sharp M_2) \setminus M_2 \text{ and } \Delta'(X) = (\Delta'(Y) \sharp M_2) \setminus M_2.$$

In particular, if X is a cone, then $\Delta(X)$ and $\Delta'(X)$ are empty or infinite respectively.

Using this proposition, Theorem 3 will be proved by Propositions 4, 5 and 6 which will be proved in the next subsection.

3.3. Inner Galois points.

In this subsection, we consider only Galois points contained in X_{sm}.

Lemma 7. *If l be a line lying on X, then the number of Galois points for X on l is zero, one, two or infinitely many. The last case occurs only if X is a cone.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist three Galois points P_1, P_2 and P_3 for X on l. Then, from Proposition 1, we may assume $P_1 = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ and X is given by the equation

$$X_1 X_0^{d-1} + G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Since l is contained in the tangent space at P_1, we may assume that l is given by the equation $X_1 = X_3 = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$. The Gauss map γ is given by

$$((d-1)X_1 X_0^{d-2} : X_0^{d-1} + \partial G/\partial X_1 : \partial G/\partial X_2 : \cdots : \partial G/\partial X_{n+1}).$$

Here, we consider the restriction of γ to l, which is given by

$$(0 : X_0^{d-1} + a_1 X_2^{d-1} : d a_2 X_2^{d-1} : a_3 X_2^{d-1} : \cdots : a_{n+1} X_2^{d-1})$$

where $a_i \in K$ is the coefficient of $X_i X_2^{d-1}$ in G. Assume that the restriction $\gamma|_l$ is not a constant map, i.e., there exists a non-zero coefficient a_i for some $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$. Then, the map $\gamma|_l : l \to \gamma|_l(l) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a finite morphism of degree $d-1$. It is clear that the Galois point P_1 is a ramification point of $\gamma|_l$. So, other Galois point P_2 and P_3 must be also ramification points. However, the number of ramification points of $\gamma|_l$ must be two, this is a contradiction. So we have that $\gamma|_l$ is a constant map. Especially, we have that $\mathbb{T}_{P_1}X = \mathbb{T}_{P_2}X = \mathbb{T}_{P_3}X$.

By Remark 2, $\mathbb{T}_{P_1}X \cap X$ is a cone and P_1, P_2 and P_3 are its vertexes. We put that $P_2 = (a : 0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, $P_3 = (b : 0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ $(a, b \in K)$ and assume that $a \neq 0$. Then, calculating the local equation of $\mathbb{T}_{P_1}X$ at P_2, we see that $G(0, 1, X_3, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d. Hence, we may assume that X is given by the equation

$$X_1X_0^{d-1} + X_2(G_0X_2^{d-1} + G_1X_2^{d-2} + \cdots + G_{d-2}X_2) + G_d = 0,$$

where $G_i = G_i(X_0, X_1, X_3, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, d-2, d)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. Examining the condition $(1)_1$ of Lemma 3 at P_2, we obtain that $G_0 = G_1 = \cdots = G_{d-2} = 0$. Namely, X is given by the equation

$$X_1X_0^{d-1} + G_d(X_0, X_1, X_3, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0,$$

and X is a cone with the vertex $O = (0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$. □

Lemma 8. *Assume that $d \geq 5$. If l is a line which does not lie on X, then the number of Galois points for X on l is at most one.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist two Galois points for X on the line l. Then, we denote them by P and P'. From Proposition 1, we may assume that $P = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ and X is given by the equation $F = X_1X_0^{d-1} + G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1})$. Note that if $P' \in X$ is on the hyperplane $X_0 = 0$, then $P \in T_{P'}X$. So, we infer from Remark 2 that P' is not on the hyperplane $X_0 = 0$. Hence, we may assume that $P' = (1 : 1 : 0 \cdots : 0)$ and l is given by the equations $X_2 = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$. Then, the local equation of X at P' is the following:

$$(w + 1)^{d-1} + G(1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = 0,$$

where $(w, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = (X_0/X_1 - 1, X_2/X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}/X_1)$ is a system of local coordinates. Putting $G(1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_i$, where $g_i = g_i(u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1})$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, d)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. Examining Condition $(1)_1$ in Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$\binom{d-1}{3}w^3 + g_3 = \binom{d-1}{2}((d-1)w + g_1)\left(\frac{\binom{d-1}{2}w^2 + g_2}{(d-1)((d-1)w + g_1)}\right)^2$$

Comparing the coefficients of w^3 of both sides, then we have a contradiction, if $d \geq 5$. □

Proposition 4. *If $d \geq 5$ and $\Delta(X)$ is infinite, then X is a cone.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Delta(X)$ is an infinite set. Then, we infer from Lemma 4 that there exist three smooth Galois points P_1, P_2, P_3 which are collinear. By Lemma 8, the line l passing through P_1, P_2, P_3 is contained in X. So, from Lemma 7, X is a cone. □

On the other hand, if $d \geq 5$, and if $\Delta(X)$ is finite and non-empty, then the set $\Delta(X)$ is independent by Proposition 3 and Lemmas 7 and 8. Theorem 1 (II) is derived from the following lemma which is a generalization of [12, Lemma 4]:

Lemma 9. *Let $m = [(n+s+1)/2]$. The cardinality of a set of independent inner Galois points is at most $m+1$. The equality holds if and only if X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_{m+1}X_0^{d-1} + \cdots + X_{2m-s}X_{m-s-1}^{d-1} + A_{m-s}X_{m-s}^{d-1} + \cdots + A_mX_m^{d-1} + G = 0$$

where $A_{m-s}, \dots, A_m, G \in K[X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ are homogeneous polynomials with $\deg A_{m-s} = \cdots = \deg A_m = 1$ and $\deg G = d$. (Note that the polynomial $A_{m-s}X_{m-s}^{d-1} + \cdots + A_mX_m^{d-1}$ does not appear if $s = -1$.)

Proof. Let $P_0, \dots, P_r$ form a set of independent inner Galois points. Suppose that $r \ge m+1$. Then take a system of coordinates $(X_0, \dots, X_{n+1})$ satisfying that $X_j(P_i) = \delta_{ji}$, where $0 \le i \le m+1$ and $0 \le j \le n+1$. By Lemma 4, we can assume that σ_i is a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}[\zeta, \dots, \zeta, 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta]$, where $\zeta = e_{d-1}$. Since $F^\sigma = \lambda_i F$ for $\lambda_i \in K \setminus 0$, we infer that F has the expression as

$$F = A_0X_0^{d-1} + \cdots + A_{m+1}X_{m+1}^{d-1} + G,$$

where A_i and G are forms in $K[X_{m+2}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$, and $\deg A_i = 1$ and $\deg G = d$. Let $t := \dim\langle A_0, \dots, A_{m+1}\rangle - 1$. Then, $0 \le t \le n-m-1 \le m$. We may assume that $A_0, \dots, A_t$ be a basis of the above vector space and $A_0 = X_{m+2}, \dots, A_t = X_{m+t+2}$. Then, $A_{t+1}, \dots, A_{m+1}$ are represented by linear combinations of $X_{m+2}, \dots, X_{m+t+2}$. We consider the locus Γ which is defined by $X_{m+2} = \cdots = X_{m+t+2} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{m+2}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{n+1}} = 0$. We find easily that the locus Γ is contained in the singular locus of X. The dimension of Γ is at least $(n+1)-(t+1+n-m) = m-t$. Now, $m-t \ge 2m-n+1 \ge s+1$. This is a contradiction.

Now assume that $r = m$. Similar to the above discussion, F has the expression as

$$F = A_0X_0^{d-1} + \cdots + A_mX_m^{d-1} + G,$$

where A_i and G are forms in $K[X_{m+1}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$, and $\deg A_i = 1$ and $\deg G = d$. Let $t = \dim\langle A_0, \dots, A_m\rangle - 1$. Then, $0 \le t \le \max\{n-m, m\}$. We may assume that $A_0, \dots, A_t$ be a basis of the above vector space and $A_0 = X_{m+1}, \dots, A_t = X_{m+t+1}$. We consider the locus Γ which is defined by $X_{m+1} = \cdots = X_{m+t+1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{m+1}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{n+1}} = 0$. We find easily that the locus Γ is contained in the singular locus of X. The dimension of Γ is at least $(n+1)-(t+1+n-m+1) = m-t-1$. Therefore, $s \ge m-t-1$. We have $t \ge m-s-1$. Then, we have the assertion. □

Now, we consider the case where $d = 4$.

Lemma 10. *Assume that $d = 4$. Let $P \in X$ be a Galois point, σ a generator of G_P.*

1. *If a smooth point Q on $X \setminus \mathbb{T}_PX$ is Galois then $\sigma(Q) \neq Q$.*
2. *Let $P_1 := P, P_2, P_3, P_4$ be distinct points in $\Delta(X)$. Further, we assume that these points are collinear and the line l passing through these points is not contained in X. Then, by taking a suitable projective transformation, we can express X as $X_1X_0^3 + X_1^4 + H(X_2, \dots, X_{n+1}) = 0$, where $H(X_2, \dots, X_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree four.*
3. *Assume the same assumptions in (2) and that X is not a cone. Then, we have that $\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{T}_PX = \{P_2, P_3, P_4\}$.*

Proof. Let us prove the assertion (1). Suppose that $\sigma(Q) = Q$. By the assumtion, the line $\overline{PQ} \not\subset X$. Therefore, P and Q are independent Galois points. By Proposition 1, we may assume that $P = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ and $Q = (0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, and X is given by $A_0X_0^3 + A_1X_1^3 + G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0$ where $A_0, A_1 \in K[X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$. The tangent space at P is defined by $A_0 = 0$ and $A_0(Q) = 0$. This contradicts the assumption.

Let us prove the assertion (2). By Proposition 1, we may assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, X is given by the equation $X_1X_0^3 + G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0$. The point P_2 is not contained in $\mathbb{T}_{P_1}X$ nor $\mathbb{F}_P$. Therefore, we may assume that $P_2 = (a : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$ and l is given by the equations $X_2 = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$. Let $G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = G_0X_1^4 + G_1X_1^3 + G_2X_1^2 + G_3X_1 + G_4$ where $G_i = G_i(X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i and $G_0 = -a^3$. Let $w := X_0/X_1 - a$, $u_2 := X_2/X_1$, $\ldots$, $u_{n+1} := X_{n+1}/X_1$. Then,

$$F(w + a, 1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = (w + a)^3 + (-a)^3 + G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4 = 0.$$

By applying Corollary 3 at P_2, we have

$$(3a^2 + G_2)^2 = 3(3a^2w + G_1)(w^3 + G_3)$$

as a polynomial. This implies that $G_1 = G_2 = G_3 = 0$ and hence, the assertion.

Let us prove the assertion (3). By the assertion in (2), we may assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, X is given by $X_1X_0^3 + X_1^4 + H(X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0$, and l is given by the equations $X_2 = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$. Suppose that there exists another point Q in $\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{T}_{P_1}X$. By taking a suitable projective transformation, we may assume that $Q = (a : 1 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$. We put that

$$H(X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = H_0X_2^4 + H_1X_2^3 + H_2X_2^2 + H_3X_2 + H_4,$$

where $H_i = H_i(X_3, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i, and $H_0 = -a^3 - 1$. Let $w_0 := X_0/X_2 - a$, $w_1 := X_1/X_2 - 1$, $u_3 = X_3/X_2, \ldots, u_{n+1} := X_{n+1}/X_2$. Then,

$$F(w_0+a, w_1+1, 1, u_3, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = (w_1+1)(w_0+a)^3+(w_1+1)^4+(-a^3-1)+H_1+H_2+H_3+H_4 = 0.$$

By applying Corollary 3 at P_2, we have

$$(a^3w_1 + 3a^2w_0 + 4w_1 + H_1)(3aw_0^2w_1 + w_0^3 + 4w_1^3 + H_3) = 3(3a^2w_0w_1 + 3aw_0^2 + 6w_1^2 + H_2)^2$$

as a polynomial. This implies that $H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = 0$ and $a^3 = -1$. Therefore, $F = X_1X_0^3 + X_1^4 + H_4$ with $H_4 \in K[X_3, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$ and X is a cone. □

Proposition 5. *If $d = 4$ and $\Delta(X)$ is infinite, then X is a cone.*

Proof. Assume that X is not a cone. It follows from Lemma 7 that if a line l contains three Galois points, then $l \not\subset X$. Let $P \in \Delta(X)$. Then, we have $\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_P \subset \Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{T}_PX$, because the line $\overline{PQ}$ contains four Galois points if $Q \in \Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_P$. It follows from Lemma 10 (3) that $\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_P$ is a finite set.

Let P_1 be a point in $\Delta(X)$. Since $\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_{P_1}$ is finite, we can take a point P_2 in $\Delta(X) \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_1}$. Then, we infer that the set $\Delta(X) \setminus (\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_2}) = (\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_{P_1}) \cup (\Delta(X) \setminus \mathbb{F}_{P_2})$ is finite and the set $\Delta(X) \cap (\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_2})$ is infinite. Hence, we can take a point P_3 in $\Delta(X) \cap (\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_2})$. In this way, we can take infinitely many points $P_1, P_2, \ldots$ such that $P_i \in \Delta(X) \cap (\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_{i-1}})$.

Note that $\dim(\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_i}) = \dim(\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_{i-1}}) - 1$, because $P_i \notin \mathbb{F}_{P_i}$. We have that $\mathbb{F}_{P_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_{n+2}} = \emptyset$, thus we have a contradiction. □

Now we consider the case where $\Delta(X)$ is finite and non-empty. Then, X is not a cone by Proposition 3 in Subsection 3.2. Below in this subsection, we define

$$r = r(X) := \max \sharp\{P_0, \dots, P_b : \text{ independent Galois points on } X\} - 1$$

and let $P_0, \dots, P_r$ form a set of independent Galois points. (Then, $r \le m$ and $r < m$ is possible.) We also define

$$\mu := \sharp\{l : \text{line } | l \text{ contains four Galois points } \} - 1.$$

We have that $-1 \le \mu \le r$ by the following Lemma:

Lemma 11. *Let $P_0, \dots, P_r$ be independent Galois points and let $Q \in X$ be a Galois point distinct from $P_0, \dots, P_r$. Assume that X is not a cone. Then,*

1. *There exists i such that $\sharp(\overline{P_iQ} \cap \Delta(X)) = 4$.*
2. *If l_1, l_2 are lines such that $Q \in l_i$ and $\sharp(l_i \cap \Delta(X)) = 4$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $l_1 = l_2$.*

Proof. Assume that the line $\overline{P_iQ}$ consists exactly two Galois points for any i. Then, $P_0, \dots, P_r, Q$ are independent Galois points. This contradicts the assumption on r. We have (1). The assertion in (2) is derived from Lemma 10 (3). □

Let $P_0, \dots, P_\mu$ be Galois points with lines $l_0, \dots, l_\mu$, where $P_i \in l_i$ and l_i contains four Galois points. Using Corollary 3, Lemmas 10 and 11, for a suitable coordinate, we find that X is defined by

$$X_{\mu+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2\mu+1}X_\mu^3 + X_{\mu+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2\mu+1}^4 + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{2\mu+2}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ (cf. [12, p. 532]). Then, by direct computations, the singular locus is contained in a linear space defined by $X_0 = \cdots = X_{2\mu+1} = 0$. This implies that $s \ge (n+1) - (2\mu+2)$ and hence $\mu \le (n+s+1)/2 - s - 1$. Therefore, we have the following:

Lemma 12. *$\mu \le m - s - 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 1 (I-0)–(I-4). (0) Now, the number of inner Galois points is $4(\mu+1) + (r-\mu)$. Note that $\mu \le r \le m$ and $\mu \le m - s - 1$, by Lemmas 9 and 12. Therefore, we have an upper bound $4(m-s) + (s+1)$.

(1) We consider the case where $n+s$ is odd. Then, $2m - s = n + 1$.

(1-1) Assume that $\mu = m - s - 1$. Then, X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by

$$X_{m-s}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}X_{m-s-1}^3 + X_{m-s}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}^4 + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{2m-2s}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$. By direct computations, the singular locus of X is defined by

$$X_0 = \cdots = X_{2m-2s-1} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{2m-2s}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{n+1}} = 0.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{2m-2s}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{n+1}} = 0$$

as a polynomial by counting the dimension of the singularity (and that X is not a cone). Then, $G = 0$ as a polynomial. This implies $s = -1$.

(1-2) Assume that $s \geq 0$, $\mu = m - s - 2$ and $r = m$. Then, X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by

$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}X_{m-s-2}^3 + A_{m-s-1}X_{m-s-1}^3 + \cdots + A_mX_m^3$
$+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 + G = 0$

where $A_{m-s-1}, \ldots, A_m, G \in K[X_{2m-s}]$. Therefore, we may assume that $A_{m-s-1} = \cdots = A_m = X_{2m-s}$ and $G = aX^4$ where $a = 0$ or 1. Then, $\sharp\Delta(X) = 4(\mu+1)+(m-\mu) = 4(m-s-1)+(s+2) = 4(m-s)+(s-2)$.

(2) We consider the case where $n + s$ is even.

(2-1) Assume that $\mu = m - s - 1$. Then X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by

$$X_{m-s}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}X_{m-s-1}^3 + X_{m-s}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-2s-1}^4 + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{2m-2s}, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$. Assume that $r \geq \mu + 1$. Then, $s \geq 0$ and we can take $G = X_{2m-2s+1}X_{2m-2s}^3 + G_0$ where $G_0 \in K[X_{2m-2s+1}, \ldots, X_{n+1}]$. The singular locus is defined by

$$X_0 = \cdots = X_{2m-2s-1} = X_{2m-2s}X_{2m-2s+1}$$

$$= X_{2m-2s}^3 + \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial X_{2m-2s+1}} = \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial X_{2m-2s+2}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial X_{2m-2s+1}} = 0.$$

Then, by counting the dimension of the singularity of X, we should have $G_0 = 0$ as a polynomial and hence, $s = 0$ and $r = \mu + 1 = m$. Then, $\sharp\Delta(X) = 4m + 1$. On the other hand, if $s \neq 0$, then $r = \mu$ and $\sharp\Delta(X) = 4(m - s)$. Then, by counting the dimension of the singularity of X, G should have a multiple component.

Using the result in (1-1), we find that the bound $4(m-s)+(s+1)$ is sharp if and only if $s = -1$, or $s = 0$ and n is even.

(2-2) Assume that $s \geq 1$, $\mu = m - s - 2$ and $r = m$. Then, X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by

$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}X_{m-s-2}^3 + A_{m-s-1}X_{m-s-1}^3 + \cdots + A_mX_m^3$
$+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 + G = 0$

where $A_{m-s-1}, \ldots, A_m, G \in K[X_{2m-s}, X_{n+1}]$. Then, $\sharp\Delta(X) = 4(\mu+1)+(m-\mu) = 4(m-s-1)+(s+2) = 4(m-s)+(s-2)$. There exist examples of hypersurfaces such that $s \geq 1$, $\mu = m-s-2$ and $r = m$, as in Example 1 below. □

We define $t := n - \dim \bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq r} \mathbb{T}_{P_i}X$. We consider examples when $n + s$ is even.

Example 1. Let $s \geq 1$ and $n + s$ is even.

(i) A hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by
$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s}X_{m-s-1}^3 + X_{2m-s+1}X_{m-s}^3 + X_{2m-s}(X_{m-s+1}^3 + \cdots + X_m^3)$
$+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 = 0$
satisfies that $\dim \mathrm{Sing}(X) = s$, $r = m$, $t = m - s$ and $\mu = m - s - 2$.

(ii) A hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by
$X_{m+1}X_0^3 + \cdots + X_{2m-s}X_{m-s-1}^3 + X_{2m-s}(X_{m-s}^3 + \cdots + X_m^3)$
$+X_{m+1}^4 + \cdots + X_{2m-s-1}^4 + X_{2m-s+1}^4 = 0$
satisfies that $\dim \mathrm{Sing}(X) = s$, $r = m$, $t = m - s - 1$ and $\mu = m - s - 2$.

3.4. **Outer Galois points.**

Lemma 13. *Let $l \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a line. Then, the cardinality of the set $\Delta'(X) \cap l$ is zero, one, two or infinity. The last case occurs only if X is a cone.*

Proof. Let $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \Delta'(X) \cap l$ be distinct three outer Galois points and let $\sigma \in G_{P_1}$ be a generator. From Proposition 2, we may assume that $P_1 = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, X is defined by the equation

$$X_0^d + G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0,$$

where $G(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d, and $\sigma = \mathrm{diag}[e_d, \ldots, e_d, 1]$. Further, we may assume that l is defined by $X_2 = \cdots = X_{n+1} = 0$. Note that $l \cap \mathbb{F}_{P_1}$ consists only one point. Therefore, P_2 or P_3 is not contained in $\mathbb{F}_{P_1}$ at P_1. We may assume that P_2 is so and $P_2 = (1 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$, since $\mathbb{F}_{P_1}$ is given by $X_0 = 0$. Then, the local equation of X at P_2 is the following:

$$(w + 1)^d + G(1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}),$$

where $(w, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = (X_0/X_1 - 1, X_2/X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}/X_1)$ is a system of local coordinates. We put that $G(1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_i$, where $g_i = g_i(u_2, \ldots, u_{n+1})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. Examining the condition $(1)_0$ in Lemma 3, we obtain that

$$g_i = \binom{d}{i}\left(\frac{(dw + g_1)^i}{d^i(1 + g_0)^{i-1}} - w^i\right),\ i = 1, \ldots, d-1.$$

Calculating the coefficients of w and w^2 in the equation where $i = 2$, we see that $g_0 = 0$ and $g_1 = 0$. Hence, we see that $g_0 = \cdots = g_{d-1} = 0$. Thus, we conclude that X is defined by the following:

$$X_0^d + g_d(X_2, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = 0,$$

and X is a cone with a vertex $Q = (0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)$. □

Proposition 6. *If $\Delta'(X)$ is infinite, then X is a cone.*

Proof. Assume that $\Delta'(X)$ is infinite. Then, by lemma 4, there exists three outer Galois points which are collinear. By Lemma 13, we have that X is a cone. □

Lemma 14. *The cardinality of a set of independent outer Galois points is at most $n - s + 1$. The equality holds if and only if X is projectively equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_0^d + \cdots + X_{n-s}^d = 0.$$

Therefore, X is smooth or a cone.

Furthermore, if $s \geq 0$ *and* X *is not a cone, then the cardinality of a set of independent outer Galois points is at most* $n - s$*. Then, the equality holds if and only if* X *is projective equivalent to the hypersurface defined by*

$$X_0^d + \cdots + X_{n-s-1}^d + G = 0$$

where $G \in K[X_{n-s}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ *has a multiple component.*

Proof. Let $P_0, \dots, P_r$ are independent outer Galois points. Then take a system of coordinates $(X_0, \dots, X_{n+1})$ satisfying that $X_j(P_i) = \delta_{ji}$, where $0 \leq i \leq m+1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n+1$. By Lemma 4, we can assume that σ_i is a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}[\zeta, \dots, \zeta, 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta]$, where $\zeta = e_{d-1}$. Since $F^\sigma = \lambda_i F$ for $\lambda_i \in K \setminus 0$, we infer that F has the expression as

$$F = X_0^d + \cdots + X_r^d + G,$$

where $G \in K[X_{r+1}, \dots, X_{n+1}]$. Then, the singular locus is defined by

$$X_0 = \cdots = X_r = \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{r+1}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial G}{\partial X_{n+1}} = 0.$$

Therefore, $s \leq n + 1 - (r+1)$. The equality holds if and only if $G = 0$ as a polynomial, hence X is smooth or a cone.

Assume that $s \geq 0$ and X is not a cone. Then, $r \leq n$, there exists j with $r+1 \leq j \leq n+1$ such that $\frac{\partial G}{\partial X_j} \neq 0$ as a polynomial, and hence $s \leq n + 1 - (r+2)$. The equality holds if and only if G has a multiple component. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $\Delta'(X)$ is finite and non-empty. Then, X is not a cone by Proposition 3 in Subsection 3.2, and the set $\Delta'(X)$ is independent by Lemma 13. Therefore, we have the conclusion by Lemma 14. □

4. Galois points for a Fermat hypersurface of degree $p^e + 1$ in $p > 0$

Let $p > 0$ and $q \geq 3$ be a power of p. We consider the Fermat hypersurface $F_n(q+1) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $q+1$:

$$X_0^{q+1} + X_1^{q+1} + \cdots + X_{n+1}^{q+1} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Firstly, we prove the "if" part of Theorem 5. Homma gave an elegant proof if $n = 1$ by using automorphisms of Hermitian curve ([5, Claims 1 and 2]). We give an elementary proof.

Proposition 7. *If* $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *is* $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$*-rational, then* P *is Galois for* $F_n(q+1)$*.*

Proof. Let $P = (1 : a_1 : \cdots : a_{n+1})$ and $\pi_P = (X_1 - a_1 X_0 : \cdots : X_{n+1} - a_{n+1} X_0)$ be the projection from P. Then, we have a field extension $K(x_0, \dots, x_n)/K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (1 + a_1^{q+1} + \cdots + a_n^{q+1} + a_{n+1}^{q+1}) x_0^{q+1} + (a_1^q x_1 + \cdots + a_n^q x_n + a_{n+1}^q) x_0^q + (a_1 x_1^q + \cdots + a_n x_n^q + a_{n+1}) x_0 + (x_1^{q+1} + \cdots + x_n^{q+1} + 1) = 0$.

If $P \in X$ and P is $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational, then $f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (a_1^q x_1 + \cdots + a_n^q x_n + a_{n+1}^q) x_0^q + (a_1^q x_1 + \cdots + a_n^q x_n + a_{n+1}^q)^q x_0 + (x_1^{q+1} + \cdots + x_n^{q+1} + 1) = 0$. It is not difficult to check that the extension is Galois.

We assume that $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \setminus X$ and P is $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational. Let $\beta(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (a_1^q x_1 + \cdots + a_n^q x_n + a_{n+1}^q)/(1 + a_1^{q+1} + \cdots + a_n^{q+1} + a_{n+1}^{q+1})$ and $\hat{x_0} = x_0 + \beta$. Then, we have $0 = f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(\hat{x_0} - \beta, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (1 + a_1^{q+1} + \cdots + a_n^{q+1} + a_{n+1}^{q+1})\hat{x_0}^{q+1} + g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Therefore, our extension is cyclic. □

Next, we prove the "only-if" part. We will use the result of Homma [5, Claim 3] with $n = 1$, because we will use induction on the dimension n.

Proposition 8. *If $P \in \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a Galois point for $F_n(q+1)$, then P is $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational.*

Proof. Let $P = (1 : a_1 : \cdots : a_{n+1})$. We may assume that i_0 is an integer such that $a_i \neq 0$ and $1 + a_i^{q+1} \neq 0$ if and only if $i \leq i_0$. Note that $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for any $i > i_0$ because $a_i = 0$ or $1 + a_i^{q+1} = 0$. Let $1 \leq i \leq i_0$ and let H_i be a hyperplane defined by $X_i - a_i X_0$. Then, $X \cap H_i$ is defined by

$$(1 + a_i^{q+1})X_0^{q+1} + \cdots + X_{i-1}^{q+1} + X_{i+1}^{q+1} + \cdots + X_{n+1}^{q+1} = 0.$$

We take a linear transformation ϕ_i on $H_i \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ defined as follows: $(1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_{i-1} : x_{i+1} : \cdots : x_{n+1}) \mapsto (1 : x_1/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}} : \cdots : x_{i-1}/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}} : x_{i+1}/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}} : \cdots : x_{n+1}/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}})$. Then $\phi_i(X \cap H_i)$ is the Fermat hypersurface in $H_i \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Note that H_i satisfies the condition $(\star)$ in Theorem 4.

We will prove the assertion by induction on the dimension n. If $n = 1$, then the assertion holds by a result of Homma [5]. We assume that $n \geq 2$ and P is a Galois point. It follows from Theorem 4 (ii) that P is also Galois for $X \cap H_i$. By the assumption of the induction, $\phi_i(P)$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-rational point. Now we have

$$\phi_i(P) = (1 : a_1/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}} : \cdots : a_{n+1}/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}}).$$

Therefore, $(a_j/\sqrt[q+1]{1 + a_i^{q+1}})^{q^2-1} = 1$ for any $i \neq j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq i_0$. By direct computations, we have $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for any i. □

5. Examples

We give examples of hypersurfaces which have infinitely many Galois points and are not cones, in $p > 0$.

Example 2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$F = ZW^p - X^p W - Y^{p+1} = 0.$$

Let $P = (0 : 0 : 1 : 0)$, $Q = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ and let L be a line defined by $Y = W = 0$. Then, we have the followings:

(i) $\mathrm{Sing}(X) = \{P\}$.
(ii) X is not a cone.
(iii) $L \setminus \{P, Q\} \subset \Delta(X)$.

Proof. Note that X is the closure of the image of a morphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3;\ (x, y) \mapsto (x : y : x^p + y^{p+1} : 1).$$

The Gauss map γ is given by

$$(\partial F/\partial X : \partial F/\partial Y : \partial F/\partial Z : \partial F/\partial W) = (0 : -Y^p : W^p : -X^p).$$

We have (i) by the form of the Gauss map. We also have (ii) because γ is generically finite by that $\gamma \circ \phi = (0 : -y^p : 1 : -x^p)$. Now, we prove (iii). Let $R = (1 : 0 : a : 0)$ and $\pi_R = (Z - aX : Y : W)$ be the projection. Then, we have the field extension $K(x, y, z)/K(y, z)$ with

$$x^p - ax - z + y^{p+1} = 0.$$

Therefore, this extension is Galois if $a \neq 0$. □

Example 3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a hypersurface defined by

$$F = ZW^{p^2-1} - X^pW^{p^2-p} - Y^{p^2} = 0.$$

Let L_1 be a line $Y = W = 0$, L_2 be a line $Z = W = 0$ and H be a plane defined by $W = 0$. Then, we have the followings:

(i) $\mathrm{Sing}(X) = L_1$.
(ii) X is not a cone.
(iii) $H \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2) \subset \Delta'(X)$.

Proof. Note that X is the closure of the image of a morphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3;\ (x, y) \mapsto (x : y : x^p + y^{p^2} : 1).$$

The Gauss map γ is given by

$$(\partial F/\partial X : \partial F/\partial Y : \partial F/\partial Z : \partial F/\partial W) = (0 : 0 : W^{p^2-1} : -ZW^{p^2-2}).$$

We have (i) by the form of the Gauss map. Now, we have

$$\gamma \circ \phi(x, y) = (0 : 0 : 1 : -x^p - y^{p^2}).$$

Therefore, the general fiber of the Gauss map is an irreducible curve defined by $x + y^p = c$ (for a suitable constant c). Then, we have (ii). We prove (iii). Let $R = (1 : a : b : 0)$ and $\pi_R = (Z - aX : Y - bX : W)$ be the projection. Then, we have the field extension $K(x, y, z)/K(y, z)$ with

$$b^{p^2}x^{p^2} + x^p - ax + z - y^{p+1} = 0.$$

Therefore, this extension is Galois if $b \neq 0$. □

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Professor Hisao Yoshihara for helpful discussions. In particular, Yoshihara informed the first author an idea of the proof of Theorem 4 when $p = 0$, $s(X) \leq 0$ and H is general. The first author was supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

References

[1] E. Artin, *Galois Theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, Yew York (1998).

[2] S. Fukasawa, On the number of Galois points for a plane curve in positive characteristic, II, Geom. Dedicata **127** (2007), 131–137.

[3] S. Fukasawa, Galois points for a plane curve in arbitrary characteristic, Proceedings of the IV Iberoamerican conference on complex geometry, Geom. Dedicata **139** (2009), 211–218.

[4] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, GTM 52, Springer, 1977.

[5] M. Homma, Galois points for a Hermitian curve, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 4503–4511.

[6] K. Miura, Galois points for plane curves and Cremona transformations, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 987–995.

[7] K. Miura and H. Yoshihara, Field theory for function fields of plane quartic curves, J. Algebra **226** (2000), 283–294.

[8] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).

[9] T. Takahashi, Galois points on normal quartic surfaces, Osaka J. Math. **39** (2002), 647–663.

[10] H. Yoshihara, Function field theory of plane curves by dual curves, J. Algebra **239** (2001), 340–355.

[11] H. Yoshihara, Galois points on quartic surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan **53** (2001), 731–743.

[12] H. Yoshihara, Galois points for smooth hypersurfaces, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 520–534.

[13] H. Yoshihara, Rational curve with Galois point and extendable Galois automorphism, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 1463–1472.

[14] H. Yoshihara, Private communications, January 2008.

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Ohkubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan.

E-mail address: `s.fukasawa@kurenai.waseda.jp`

Division of General Education, Nagaoka National College of Technology, 888 Nishikatakai, Nagaoka, Niigata 940-8532, Japan

E-mail address: `takeshi@nagaoka-ct.ac.jp`